

AEROLIFE LLC
111020 Moscow
TIN 7722539358
ul. Skotoprogonnaya, d.35, str. 2
tel.: 8-499-739-07-20

AEROLIFE MEDICAL CENTER

Andrei Nikolayevich Davydov, born in 1953, is hereby referred to F.F.Erisman Federal Research Center of Hygiene.

Ground for the Referral: Possible chronic exogenous intoxications with an unidentified poison.

Purpose of the Referral: Consult with a Toxicologist and with an Occupational Physician to confirm diagnosis and receive treatment.

Date: 12.10.2010

Physician /signature/ O.L.Klochkova

Physician's personal stamp:
"PHYSICIAN* Olga Leonidovna KLOCHKOVA "

AEROLIFE LLC
111020 Moscow
TIN 7722539358
ul. Skotoprogonnaya, d.35, str. 2
tel.: 8-499-739-07-20

AEROLIFE MEDICAL CENTER

Andrei Nikolayevich Davydov, born in 1953, is hereby referred to to E.M.Tareev Clinic of Renal, Internal, and Occupational Diseases.

Ground for the Referral: Possible chronic exogenous intoxications with an unidentified poison.

Purpose of the Referral: Consult with a Toxicologist and with an Occupational Physician to confirm diagnosis and receive treatment.

Date: 12.10.2010

Physician /signature/ O.L.Klochkova

Physician's personal stamp:
"PHYSICIAN* Olga Leonidovna KLOCHKOVA "

AEROLIFE LLC
111020 Moscow
TIN 7722539358
ul. Skotoprogonnaya, d.35, str. 2
tel.: 8-499-739-07-20

AEROLIFE MEDICAL CENTER

Olga Vladimirovna Skorabatyuk, born in 1967, is hereby referred to E.M.Tareev Clinic of Renal, Internal, and Occupational Diseases.

Ground for the Referral: Possible chronic exogenous intoxications with an unidentified poison.

Purpose of the Referral: Consult with a Toxicologist and with an Occupational Physician to confirm diagnosis and receive treatment.

Date: 12.10.2010

Physician /signature/ O.L.Klochkova

Physician's personal stamp:
"PHYSICIAN* Olga Leonidovna KLOCHKOVA "

[square stamp: 129
01/ 04/ 11
Novo-Peredelkino]

To:

Police Colonel Mitrofanov D. V.
Chief of Department of Internal Affairs of Novo-Peredelkino,
City of Moscow

From:

Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk
Address of residence: Moscow, 8 – 1 Novoorlovskaya Street, Apt. 97

STATEMENT

I request that an examination be conducted in regards to the damage (crack) which has formed on the metal bracket of the front door lock of the following apartment: 8 – 1 Novoorlovskaya Street, Apt. 97. No other visible damage has been discovered at the present time. Please conduct the examination for purposes of ruling out the possibility that unknown persons have forced open the front door of my apartment by illegal means.

I have been advised of criminal penalties for perjury under Article 306 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation.

1/0 4/ 2011 /signature/

Notice of Receipt

No. 0457311

Complaint received from:

citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk

(Initials, last name and rank of Department of Internal Affairs officer on duty)

KUSP 129

(Crime log entry number)

Novo-Peredelkino

(agency name, address and work number)

1/ 4/2011

Signature of duty officer

/signature/

(initials, last name and signature)

[Square stamp: district duty officer
Department of Internal Affairs
Novo-Peredelkino District
Ministry of Internal Affairs
West Administrative District, city of Moscow]

733-75- 00

**Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Russian Federation
Department of the Internal Affairs
of the West Administrative District of the City of Moscow
Criminal Forensics Unit**

116 Lobachevsky Street, city of Moscow

tel: 233 – 98 – 83

**Crime Scene Report Attachment
PHOTOGRAPHIC CHART No. 46**

Criminal case No. _____ of _____ 2011

Crime scene examination address:

8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt. 97

Damage to front door locks of apartment of citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk

Date: January 4, 2011. Exhibit production date: 1/8/2011

[PHOTOGRAPH]

Exhibit 1. Photograph of Apartment 97, front door

[Seal No.1 of Department of Internal Affairs of Novo – Peredelkino District]

N.E. Tokareva, Criminal Forensics Expert

Ministry of Internal Affairs, the city of Moscow. *[signature]*

VALID COPY *[signature]*

Federal Supervisory Agency for the Protection of Consumer Rights and Security

FEDERAL HEALTHCARE AGENCY
“MOSCOW CENTER FOR HYGIENE AND EPIDEMIOLOGY”
129626, Moscow, Grafsky Pereulok, d.4/9, tel.(495)687-4035, fax (495)616-65-69

Branch name, address, telephone, fax, testing center, State Registration #

TESTING LABORATORY

APPROVED

Accreditation Certificate No. GSEN.KG.TSOA.021
of July 03, 2008 issued according the
Accreditation System for laboratories that perform
research and tests in the areas of Hygiene and
Epidemiology

Deputy Head of
Testing Laboratory for the
Federal Healthcare Agency
“Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology”

Accreditation Certificate of the Federal Agency for
Technical Regulations and Metrology No.
POCC.RU.0001.21AB27 of September 01, 2010

S.G. Safonkina /signature/

Accreditation Certificate from the German Accreditation
Council DAKKS No. D-PL-14246-01-00 of
07.20.2010

The Official round seal of the Federal Supervisory Agency
for the Protection of Consumer Rights and Security
Federal Healthcare Agency
“Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology”

LABORATORY TEST RECORDS
No. GTSP23 20111 dated by 01.17.2011

PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORY

1. Code of sample (test): 11.01.1.0002.23
2. Name of sample: Indoor air
3. Object: Contract
4. Applicant: O.V. Skobatyuk, private citizen
5. Unit under test: Apartment No.97, 8 Novoorlovskaya ul., Building 1
6. Place of sample collection: 8 Novoorlovskaya ul., Building 1, Apt. 97
7. Date of sample collection: 01.12.2011 10:30 Date of receipt: 01.12.2011 13:59
8. Additional information: Shipped by: Car Storage: N/A
Conservation method: N/A
9. Technical regulations, norms, regulatory compliance of unit management:
Federal Standard (GOST) 17.2.3.01-86; GN 2.1.6.1338-3
10. Instruments of Measurement: PU-4E - calibration valid until 05.13.2011; IVA-6 - calibration valid until 02.02.2011; UKR-1 MC - calibration valid until 05.14.2011; “Sensis-009” - calibration valid until 12.23.2011

Job title and full name of employee who collected the samples: Laboratory technician A.G.Afonasyev

Record No. GCP23 2011 1 dated by 01.17.2011

Sheet 1 page 1

This record may be copied, in full or partially, only by written permission of the Testing Laboratory. The information above may be applied only to the tested sample (specimen).

TEST RESULTS
 Sanitary-Hygienic Research
 Sample received: 01.12.2011
 Lab Specimen ID 1:05

Test start date: 01.12.2011

Test end date: 01.17.2011 16:30

CO Temperature

Relative Humidity (%)

Pressure (mm Hg)

##		Point of Sample Collection	Environment			Distance (m/cm)		Time of Collection (hr/ min.)			Substance or ingredient	Detected Concentration (mg/m ³)				Regulatory Standards at time of the research	Signature of Researcher
of absorb. filters	of points of sample collection ac. to the Draft		Temp	Relative humidity (%)	Air flow rate (m/s)	From the floor	From the source of pollution	Start	End	Rate of Aspiration		Max. one-time		Average for the shift			
												Detected conc.	Max. allowed conc.	Detected conc.	Max. allowed conc.		
1	1	Middle of the Room	20	45		1.5		10:30	10:50		Ethenyl-Benzene (Vinyl-Benzene, Styrene)	0.011	0.04		0.002	MUK 4.1.618-96	E.M. Sashenkova
1								10:30	10:50		Mercury	>0.0001	0		0.0003	MUK 4.1 1468-03	V.P. Garkina
2								10:30	11:00		Dibutyl-Benzene-1,2-Dicarbonate (Dibutyl-Phthalate)	0.118	0.1		0	MU 19 #2889-83	E.A. Sokolov
2	2							10:30	11:00		Formaldehyde	0.031	0.01			RD 52.04.18 6-89	N.I. Kiseleva
1		At balcony near carpet	-3	80				11:00	11:30		Dibutyl Benzene-1,2-Dicarbonate (Dibutyl Phthalate)	0.905	0.1		0	MU 19 #2889-83	E.A. Solopov

Chief of the Department of Water, Soil, and Air O.V. Garaeva [Signature]

Laboratory Chief

L.I. Ivanova [Signature]

Record # dated by 1.17.2011. This record can be copied, in full or in part, only by a written permission of the Testing Laboratory. The information above is applicable only to the tested sample above (specimen).

Federal Supervisory Agency for the Protection of Consumer Rights and Consumer Security

FEDERAL HEALTHCARE AGENCY

“MOSCOW CENTER FOR HYGIENE AND EPIDEMIOLOGY”

129626, Moscow, Grafsky Pereulok, d.4/9, tel.(495)687-4035, fax (495)616-65-69

Branch name, address, telephone, fax, testing center, state registration #

Registration number 19-0002

Date: 01.19.2011

Expert Report

Re: Laboratory and instrumental study results and compliance/noncompliance with state sanitary and epidemiologic regulations and standards

Claim number 0002.10 dated by 11.01.2010

Customer: O.V. Skobatyuk

Address of sample collection: Moscow, ul. Novoorlovskaya, d. 8, k. 1, kv. 97

Object of examination: apartment

Date and time of sample collection: 01.12.2011; 10:30

Date and time of delivery to laboratory: 01.12.2011; 13:59

Name and address of research facility: *Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology* (Testing Laboratory of the Federal Healthcare Agency)

Sample Collection was performed by A.G. Afonasiyev, laboratory technician, *Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology*, Testing Laboratory of the Federal Healthcare Agency.

Purpose of Study: Testing for compliance with the following sanitary norms and requirements: SanPiN 2.1.2.2645-10 “Sanitary and Epidemiologic Requirements for residential premises and Buildings”

GN 2.1.6.1338-03 “Maximum Allowable Concentration of Pollutants in the Air of Residential Areas”

SanPiN 1.2.2353-08 “Carcinogens and Major Methods of their Prevention and Control”

Target of Study: Room Air

Study Variables: Ethyl benzene (Vinyl benzene, Styrene), Mercury, Dibutyl-Benzene-1,2-Dicarbonate (Dibutyl Phthalate), Formaldehyde

Study was conducted in compliance with the following Regulatory and Procedural Documents: MUK 4.1.618-96, MUK 4.1.1468-03, MU 19 # 2889-83, RD 52.04.186-89

Results of Laboratory Tests and Measurements:

The tests helped establish the following:

1. In the center of the room, the concentration of styrene was 5.5 times higher than MPC; the concentration of formaldehyde was 3 times higher than MPC per the Federal Standard 2.1.6.1338-03 “Maximum Permissible Concentration (MPC) of Polluting Substances in the Air of Residential Areas”, Sanitary Regulations and Standards 1.2.2353-08 “Carcinogens and Basic Requirements for the Prevention of Carcinogenic Risks”. Concentration of other tested substances in the samples was in conformity with the statutory requirements.

Regulatory and procedural documents used to evaluate the test results:

Federal Standard 2.1.6.1338-03 “Maximum Permissible Concentration (MPC) of Polluting Substances in the Air of Residential Areas”.

Sanitary Regulations and Standards 1.2.2353-08 “Carcinogens and Basic Requirements for the Prevention of Carcinogenic Risks”.

Enclosed: Record of Laboratory Test Results No. ГЦП23 20111 of 01.17.2011.

FINDING

The expert evaluation was performed in compliance with the current technical regulations, federal sanitary and epidemiological rules and norms, and statutory standards using duly approved methods and techniques.

The examination of premises was performed at the following address: City of Moscow, Novoorlovskaya ul., d.8, k.1, apt. 97, showed the following:

The indoor air **did not comply** with the Federal Standard 2.1.6.1338-03 “Maximum Permissible Concentration (MPC) of Polluting Substances in the Air of Residential Areas”, and with Sanitary Regulations and Standards 1.2.2353-08 “Carcinogens and Basic Requirements for the Prevention of Carcinogenic Risks”. **Concentration of styrene was 5.5 times higher than MPC; Concentration of formaldehyde was 3 times higher.**

Chief Physician

(Deputy Chief Physician)

A.V. Ivanenko

Specialist of the Communal Hygiene Department

S.A. Rakitin

Chief of the Communal Hygiene Department

N.V. Kuz

The official round seal “For Expert Reports”
of the Federal Supervisory Agency
for Protection of Consumer Rights and
Security
Federal Healthcare Agency
“Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology”

Federal Supervisory Agency for the Protection of Consumer Rights and Security

**FEDERAL HEALTHCARE AGENCY
“MOSCOW CENTER FOR HYGIENE AND EPIDEMIOLOGY”
129626, Moscow, Graftsky Pereulok, d.4/9, tel.(495)687-4035, fax (495)616-65-69**

Branch name, address, telephone, fax, testing center, State Registration #

TESTING LABORATORY

APPROVED

Accreditation System for the laboratories
that perform research and tests in the areas
of Hygiene and Epidemiology
Certificate No. ГСЭН.КГ.ИЭОА.021 dated by July 03, 2008
Catalogued in the Uniform Register
No. ROSS.RU.0001.510895 dated by July 03, 29008
Valid until July 3, 2013

Chief of
The Testing Laboratory
of Federal Healthcare Agency
“Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology”

S.G. Safonkina /signature/

[The Official round seal “For Test Results”
of the Federal Supervisory Agency for the Protection of
Consumer Rights and Security
Federal Healthcare Agency
Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology]

**LABORATORY TEST RECORD
No. 18, 01.26.2011**

**PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORY
Department of Physical and Chemical Research**

1. Code of sample (test): **11.01.1.0002.06**
2. Name of sample: **Air near the bed**
3. Object: **Contract**
4. Applicant: **O.V. Skorbatiuk**
5. Place of Sample Collection/Research: **Novoorlovskaya ul d.8, k.1, apartment 97**
6. Date of Sample Collection/Measurement: **01.19.11** Date of Receipt: **01.20.11**
7. Additional information:
8. Practice Guidelines that specify Product Requirements: **GN 2.1.6.1338-03**

Record No. 18 of 01.26.11 Page 1 of 2

This record can be copied, in full or in part, only by written permission of the Testing Laboratory. The information above is applicable only to the tested sample (specimen).

TEST RESULTS
SANITARY AND HYGIENIC ANALYSIS OF THE AIR SPECIMENS (SAMPLES)

Sample received 01.20.11
 Laboratory id of a specimen (sample) 18
 Test start date 01.24.11 Test results issued 01.26.11

No.	Parameters	Measurement Units	Test Results	Acceptable Level		Regulatory Requirements for Test Methods
				per day	max one time	
1	2	3	4	5		6
1	Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/m ³	0.010373	10	100	TO-14
2	Chlormethane	mg/m ³	0.02408	0.06		TO-14
3	Freon-114	mg/m ³	0	10		TO-14
4	Vinyl chloride (chloroethane)	mg/m ³	0.000823	0.01		MUK 4.1.607-96
5	Bromide methane	mg/m ³	0.00241	0.2		TO-14
6	Cloroethane	mg/m ³	0.000951	0.2	0.2	TO-14
7	Acetone	mg/m ³	0.064749	0.35	0.35	TO-14
8	Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/m ³	0.002911	10	100	MUK 4.1.598-96
9	1,1-Dichloroethylene	mg/m ³	0.000326	0.2		TO-14
10	Cloromethylene	mg/m ³	0.000534	-	8.8	TO-14
11	Freon-113	mg/m ³	0.001075	10		TO-14
12	1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/m ³	0.000356	1		TO-14
13	cis-1,2-Dichlorethylene	mg/m ³	0.000965	0.2		MUK 4.1.618-96
14	Ethyl Acetate	mg/m ³	0.00209	0.1	0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96
15	Chloroform	mg/m ³	0.001782	0.03	0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96
16	1,2- Dichloroethane	mg/m ³	0	1	3	MUK 4.1.618-96
17	'1,1,1-Trichlorethane'	mg/m ³	0	0.2	2	MUK 4.1.618-96
18	Benzene	mg/m ³	0.000471	0.1	0.3	TO-14
19	Carbon tetrachloride	mg/m ³	0.000711	0.7	4	MUK 4.1.618-96
20	Dichloropropane	mg/m ³	0	0.18	-	TO-14
21	Trichlorethylene	mg/m ³	0	1	4	TO-14
22	cis-1,3-Dichlorpropylene	mg/m ³	0	0.01	0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96
23	trans-1,3-Dichlorpropylene	mg/m ³	0	0.01	0.1	TO-14
24	Toluene (methyl benzene)	mg/m ³	0.049934	0.6	0.6	TO-14
25	1,1,2-Trichlorethane	mg/m ³	0	0.2		MUK 4.1.618-96
26	Butyl Acetate	mg/m ³	0.00089	0.1	0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96
27	1,2-Dibromoethane	mg/m ³	0	0.05		MUK 4.1.618-96
28	Tetrachlorethylene	mg/m ³	0.000176	0.06	0.5	MUK 4.1.618-96
29	Benzene chloride	mg/m ³	0.000116	0.1	0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96
30	Ethyl Benzene	mg/m ³	0.007874	0.02	0.02	MUK 4.1.618-96
31	Styrene (Etenilbenzol)	mg/m ³	0.01159	0.002	0.04	TO-14
32	Metaxylenes, paraxylenes	mg/m ³	0.021722	0.2	0.2	MUK 4.1.618-96
33	Ortoxylene (1,2-dimethylen)	mg/m ³	0.00809	0.3	-	MUK 4.1.618-96
34	1,1,2,2-Tetrachlorethane	mg/m ³	0.003129	-	0.06	TO-14
35	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/m ³	0.004314	0.1		TO-14

	(mesityl)					
36	1,2,4- Trimethylbenzene	mg/m3	0.005101	0.015	0.04	TO-14
37	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/m3	0.000048	0.035		TO-14
38	1,4- Dichlorobenzene	mg/m3	0.000006	0.035		MUK 4.1.618-96
39	1,2- Dichlorobenzene	mg/m3	0.000006	0.03		MUK 4.1.618-96
40	Naphthalene	mg/m3	0.007		0.007	MUK 4.1.618-96
41	Volatile components of the aromatic mixture	mg/m3	3.3		0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96

Staff member in charge
of the study

senior engineer E.M.Sashenkova
position full name (signature)

Staff member in charge
of the protocol

senior engineer E.M.Sashenkova
position full name (signature)

Chief of the Department

A.Y. Poltoratzky
full name (signature)

Unit/Laboratory
Supervisor

L.I.Ivanova
full name (signature)

Protocol No. 18 of 01.26.11

Page 2 of 2

This record can be copied, in full or in part, only by written permission of Testing Laboratory. Results of the study are valid only for the tested sample (specimen)

Federal Supervisory Agency for the Protection of Consumer Rights and Security

**FEDERAL HEALTHCARE AGENCY
“MOSCOW CENTER FOR HYGIENE AND EPIDEMIOLOGY”
129626, Moscow, Graftsky Pereulok, d.4/9, tel.(495)687-4035, fax (495)616-65-69**

Branch name, address, telephone, fax, testing center, State Registration #

TESTING LABORATORY

APPROVED

Accreditation System for the laboratories
that perform research and tests in the areas
of Hygiene and Epidemiology
Certificate No. ГСЭН.КГ.ИЭОА.021 dated by July 03, 2008
Catalogued in the Uniform Register
No. ROSS.RU.0001.510895 dated by July 03, 29008
Valid until July 3, 2013

Chief of
The Testing Laboratory
of Federal Healthcare Agency
“Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology”
S.G. Safonkina /signature/

[The Official round seal “For Test Results”
of the Federal Supervisory Agency for the Protection of
Consumer Rights and Security
Federal Healthcare Agency
Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology]

**LABORATORY TEST RECORD
No. 18, 01.26.2011**

**PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORY
Department of Physical and Chemical Research**

1. Code of sample (test): 11.01.1.0002.06
2. Name of sample: Air near hallway closet
3. Object: Contract
4. Applicant: O.V. Skorbatiuk
5. Place of Sample Collection/Research: Novoorlovskaya Street, d.8, k.1, Apartment 97
6. Date of Sample Collection/Measurement: 01.19.11 Date of Receipt: 01.20.11
7. Additional information: _____
8. Practice Guidelines that specify Product Requirements: GN 2.1.6.1338-03

Record No. 18 of 01.26.11

Page 1 of 2

This record can be copied, in full or in part, only by written permission of the Testing Laboratory. The information above is applicable only to the tested sample (specimen).

TEST RESULTS
SANITARY AND HYGIENIC ANALYSIS OF THE AIR SPECIMENS (SAMPLES)

Sample received 01.20.11
 Laboratory id of a specimen (sample) 18
 Test start date 01.24.11 Test results issued 01.26.11

No.	Parameters	Measurement Units	Test Results	Acceptable Level		Regulatory Requirements for Test Methods
				per day	max one time	
1	2	3	4	5		6
1	Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/m ³	0	10	100	TO-14
2	Chlormethane	mg/m ³	0.064153	0.06		TO-14
3	Freon-114	mg/m ³	0.000284	10		TO-14
4	Vinyl chloride (chloroethane)	mg/m ³	0.000909	0.01		MUK 4.1.607-96
5	Bromide methane	mg/m ³	0.003059	0.2		TO-14
6	Cloroethane	mg/m ³	0	0.2	0.2	TO-14
7	Acetone	mg/m ³	0.126628	0.35	0.35	TO-14
8	Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/m ³	0.003083	10	100	MUK 4.1.598-96
9	1,1-Dichloroethylene	mg/m ³	0	0.2		TO-14
10	Cloromethylene	mg/m ³	0.000542	-	8.8	TO-14
11	Freon-113	mg/m ³	0.001173	10		TO-14
12	1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/m ³	0	1		TO-14
13	cis-1,2-Dichlorethylene	mg/m ³	0.000084	0.2		MUK 4.1.618-96
14	Ethyl Acetate	mg/m ³	0	0.1	0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96
15	Chloroform	mg/m ³	0.001498	0.03	0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96
16	1,2- Dichloroethane	mg/m ³	0	1	3	MUK 4.1.618-96
17	'1,1,1-Trichlorethane'	mg/m ³	0	0.2	2	MUK 4.1.618-96
18	Benzene	mg/m ³	0.000616	0.1	0.3	TO-14
19	Carbon tetrachloride	mg/m ³	0.000747	0.7	4	MUK 4.1.618-96
20	Dichloropropane	mg/m ³	0.000406	0.18	-	TO-14
21	Trichlorethylene	mg/m ³	0.000626	1	4	TO-14
22	cis-1,3-Dichlorpropylene	mg/m ³	0	0.01	0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96
23	trans-1,3-Dichlorpropylene	mg/m ³	0	0.01	0.1	TO-14
24	Toluene (methyl benzene)	mg/m ³	0.065404	0.6	0.6	TO-14
25	1,1,2-Trichlorethane	mg/m ³	0	0.2		MUK 4.1.618-96
26	Butyl Acetate	mg/m ³	0.001819	0.1	0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96
27	1,2-Dibromoethane	mg/m ³	0	0.05		MUK 4.1.618-96
28	Tetrachlorethylene	mg/m ³	0.000182	0.06	0.5	MUK 4.1.618-96
29	Benzene chloride	mg/m ³	0.000152	0.1	0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96
30	Ethyl Benzene	mg/m ³	0.010567	0.02	0.02	MUK 4.1.618-96
31	Styrene (Etenilbenzol)	mg/m ³	0.01618	0.002	0.04	TO-14
32	Metaxylenes, paraxylenes	mg/m ³	0.030121	0.2	0.2	MUK 4.1.618-96
33	Ortoxylene (1,2-dimethylen)	mg/m ³	0.020411	0.3	-	MUK 4.1.618-96
34	1,1,2,2-Tetrachlorethane	mg/m ³	0	-	0.06	TO-14
35	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	mg/m ³	0.006214	0.1		TO-14

	(mesityl)					
36	1,2,4- Trimethylbenzene	mg/m3	0.040249	0.015	0.04	TO-14
37	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/m3	0.000127	0.035		TO-14
38	1,4- Dichlorobenzene	mg/m3	0	0.035		MUK 4.1.618-96
39	1,2- Dichlorobenzene	mg/m3	0.000004	0.03		MUK 4.1.618-96
40	Naphthalene	mg/m3	0.024		0.007	MUK 4.1.618-96
41	Volatile components of the aromatic mixture	mg/m3	3.9		0.1	MUK 4.1.618-96

Staff member in charge
of the study

senior engineer E.M.Sashenkova
position full name (signature)

Staff member in charge
of the protocol

senior engineer E.M.Sashenkova
position full name (signature)

Chief of the Department

A.Y. Poltoratzky
full name (signature)

Unit/Laboratory
Supervisor

L.I.Ivanova
full name (signature)

Protocol No. 18 of 01.26.11

Page 2 of 2

This record can be copied, in full or in part, only by written permission of Testing Laboratory. Results of the study are valid only for the tested sample (specimen)

No.	Spot	Determined values (mg/m ³)											
		Mercury	Formaldehyde	CO	Acetone	Ethanol (an unidentified peak)	Dibutylphthalate	Styrene	1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene	Butyl Acetate	Toluol	M,p Xylol	O-xylol
1.	Near the bed	N/A	0.031	1.1	-	4.8	0.118	-					
2.	Near the closet	N/A	0.024	-	0.074	4.8	-	-					
3.	Inside the closet		-	-	0.11	-	-	0.11	0.22	0.013	0.042	0.015	0.015
4.	In the hallway		-	1.7	0.082	-	-	-					
5.	In the kitchen		0.34	1.8	0.14	1.9	-	-					
6.	Nearby area (the porch)		Less than 0.008	-	-	-	0.905	-					

**DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY TEST RESULTS
TO BE USED IN MEDICAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TREATMENT OF METABOLIC
DISORDERS**

The exclusive purpose of this test is to help medical specialists discover any existing pathology.

Patients are prohibited from using these test results to self- treat their metabolic disorders.


Only an MD is authorized to give recommendations for treatment of metabolic disorders after performing a complete physical exam and obtaining patient's medical history.

Patient **Andrei Nikolayevich Davydvov** Gender **male** Age **57**

Analyzed substance: **Blood** M.D. Customer: **LLC "MEDSI"**

Test No. **000019815** Specimen received on **1/27/2011**

1	Aluminum	0	4.4000	5.41	N	normal	N
2	Silicon	30	680.0000	900	N	normal	N
3	(mg/l)	136	128.0000	210	1.0625	-!	N
4	Calcium (mg/l)	92	109.0000	110	N	normal	N
5	Titanium	1.6	18.0000	24	N	normal	N
6	Chrome	0.05	0.3700	0.5	N	normal	N
7	Manganese	0.1	0.6300	0.8	N	normal	N
8	Iron	600	890.0000	1800	N	normal	N
9	Cobalt	0.11	0.3500	0.45	N	normal	N
10	Nickel	0.14	0.7600	1	N	normal	N
11	Copper	700	1020.0000	1400	N	normal	N
12	Zinc	700	519.0000	1200	1.348747592	-!	N
13	Arsenic	1.7	15.3000	15.4	N	normal	N
14	Selenium	46	41.0000	143	1.12195122	-!	N
15	Molybdenum	0.1	0.1500	3	N	normal	N
16	Cadmium	0	0.0600	0.05	N	+!	1.2
17	Antimony	0.005	0.0710	0.5	N	normal	N
18	Mercury	1	4.4000	5.8	N	normal	N
19	Lead	0	0.2800	0.2	N	+!	1.4



Sample analyzed by: _____ M.D. in Clinical Diagnosti **S.N. Savenkov**
signature Full name

Abstract of an Outpatient Record

02.24.2011

Patient: Davydov, Andrei Nikolayevich, 57 years old.

Complaints about shortness of breath with labored inhaling and exhaling, painful sensations in chest and neck areas, general weakness, fatigue, dry mouth, recurrent discharge of white “foam” out of the mouth, swelling of the legs (increasing by night time and decreasing by the morning), recurrent convulsive twitches all over the body, numbness of the thumb and index finger on the left hand, intense hair loss within one year, steep body weight increase (20 kg in 5 month), redness and painful sensations in both eyes, tearing.

Past Medical History:

In the past medical history appendectomy in the age of 16; according to the patient: developmental abnormality – duplication of left kidney. In 2004 – delaminating abdominal aortic aneurysm, endoprosthesis surgery. Last examination of abdominal vessels was performed in 2005. The patient does not take blood-thinning and other medications; smokes; does not keep a cholesterol-lowering diet. No allergies history. No head injuries. Family background: atrial fibrillation (mother).

Weight – 120 kg., Height – 180 cm., Waist line – 134 cm.

Medical History:

About one year ago – concerns about shortness of breath, weakness, swelling of the limbs. Then the patient spent several months in the USA. Shortly after his return to Moscow (within the last 3 weeks), all mentioned above symptoms came back. The patient indicates feeling unwell after having meals and when exposed to warm temperatures. When experiencing shortness of breath, the patient applies ice in the neck area, and it helps to ease his condition a little. For cramps he takes, on his own accord, 10% calcium chloratum, 10-15 mg after meals, with milk.

According to the patient, chemical toxicity testing of the air in his apartment, performed by Sanitary and Epidemiological Station, revealed presence of several toxic substances (including volatile organics and formaldehyde), which exceeded their maximum permissible concentration in many times. Partial toxicity elimination was performed on premises.

According to the results of clinic laboratory tests, the patient’s hemoglobin level is increased (up to 172 g/l), as well as the numbers of red blood cells and monocytes. Chemistry blood panel showed an increased level of total cholesterol, low density lipoproteins, glycosylated hemoglobin up to 6,8%, hyperenzymemia (increased levels of GGT, ALT and cholinesterase).

Examination of the patient’s mineral metabolism showed that lead level in his blood serum was **40%! higher** than the maximum permissible, and cadmium level – **20%** higher. Zinc level decrease at 35%, selenium – at 35% and potassium – at 6%.

Results of the multi-layer spiral CT of abdominal cavity organs and retroperitoneal space of 01.25.2011: Post-endoprosthesis surgery of abdominal aorta and iliac artery. No signs of endoprosthesis blockade and contrast medium extravasations. Hepatic steatosis 2-3 ct. Parenchymal kidney cysts.

Physical exam: Overall condition is relatively satisfactory. Supernutrition. Skin of normal color, a little dry; hyperemia in face and neck area, papular rash on lower legs up to the knees. Conjunctival hyperemia.

Respiration rate – 20 per min. Heart rate – 92 per min. Arterial blood pressure – 170/100 mm/Hg. SaO₂ – 97%.

Medium swelling of the lower legs. No problems with bowel movement or urination.

In Extracorporeal Treatment unit the patient received two treatments by cascade plasma filtration on “Cobe Spectra” equipment. The volume of plasma filtration was 300 ml in one procedure. Patient’s reaction to the procedures was good. He demonstrated stable circulatory dynamics he felt well during the procedure and after it.

Diagnosis: Hypercholesteremia 2 of “A” type. Chronic intoxication with lead and cadmium. Chronic inhalation poisoning with undefined poison? Hepatic steatosis. Hyperviscosity syndrome. Arterial hypertension. Nettle rash, of undefined origin. Diabetes?

Recommended:

- Lose weight.
- Quit smoking!
- Low-cholesterol diet, enriched with food products, containing zinc, selenium and cadmium.
- Blood viscosity and endothelial function testing.
- Duplex scanning of brachiocephalic artery and arteries of the lower limbs.
- Cardiologist visit to exclude coronary heart disease and arterial hypertension correction.
- Endocrinologist visit.
- Allergy and Immunology Specialist visit.
- Toxicologist visit.
- Treatment by cascade plasma filtration (chemical apheresis) for elimination of intoxication with lead and cadmium and stabilize lipid metabolism.
- Recurrent blood chemistry panel for trace analysis of blood in dynamics.
- If necessary – second consultation and treatment correction after preliminary examination.

Physician I.M. Mednis

Abstract of an Outpatient Record

02.24.2011

Patient: Skorbatyuk, Olga Vladimirovna, 43 years old.

Complaints about decreased memory and attention concentration, regular bloating, moderate constricting pains in the upper body, decrement in visual acuity, periodically no eye focus, redness of the eyes. Prior to this visit (approximately for the last 6 months) – chest heaviness, dry cough, labored breathing, fever (37,4°C), unstable pulse (palpitations and slow pulse – down to 40 beats per min.), sore muscles of limbs, fingers tremor, loss of coordination.

Past Medical History:

In the past medical history ectopic pregnancy surgery about 20 years ago, venectomy. No history of allergies. According to the patient, head injury 13 years ago. Family background: myocardial infarction (grandfather), stroke (grandmother).

Medical History:

2 years ago the patient noticed for the first time such symptoms as drowsiness, fatigue, long-lasting nasal congestion and low fever. In the end of the last year the patient spent several months in the USA. Shortly after her return to Moscow all mentioned above symptoms came back. According to the patient, chemical toxicity testing of the air in her apartment, performed by Sanitary and Epidemiological Station, revealed presence of several toxic substances (including volatile organics and formaldehyde), their level exceeding maximum permissible concentration in many times. Partial toxicity elimination was performed on premises.

According to the results of laboratory tests of 12.02.2010, the patient's biomedical indicators of the functions of liver, kidneys and protein, lipid and electrolytic metabolism are within normal range. In view of varicose vein disease in the patient's past medical history, blood test is recommended – with platelet count and fibrinogen level analysis.

Moreover, in view of the patient's complaints and high level of several toxic substances in her apartment, it is recommended to perform the blood test for toxins and mineral metabolism.

CBC results of 01.18.2011: hemoglobin – 130 g/l; hematocrit – 44,2%; red blood cells – 4,79 x 10¹² l, platelets – 371x10⁹/l, white blood cells – 5,82x10⁹/l, neutrophils – 59,4%, eosinophils – 0,7%, basophils – 0,3%, lymphocytes – 31,5%, monocytes – 6,2%, stab cells – 1,9%, ESR – 4mm/hour.

Blood fibrinogen as of 01.18.2011 – 2,1g/l: within normal range.

Results of toxicology blood test did not show the presence in the patient's blood such toxins as toluene, benzol, xylene, chloroform, dichloromethane, ethylbenzene (results for all of them 0,0 ng/ml).

Physical exam: overall condition is satisfactory. Normal nutrition. Skin of normal color. Respiration rate – 16 per min. Heart rate – 78 per min. Arterial blood pressure – 110/70 mm/Hg. No swellings. No problems with bowel movement or urination.

In Extracorporeal Treatment unit the patient received one plasmapheresis treatment on “Gemonetics” equipment. Patient's reaction to the procedure was good. She demonstrated stable circulatory dynamics and she felt well during the procedure and after it.

Diagnosis: Chronic intoxication with undefined poison? Immune deficiency of undefined origin? Varicose veins disease. Post- venectomy condition.

Recommended:

- Allergy and Immunology Specialist visit.
- Toxicologist visit.
- Plasmapheresis and cascade plasma filtration treatment for detoxication and hemorheology improvement.
- If necessary – second consultation and treatment correction after preliminary examination, as recommended by medical specialists.

Physician I.M. Mednis

Dec 20, 2010

**Ministry of Defense of the Russian Federation
Diagnostic and Treatment Center No. 9
Department of Functional Diagnostics**

SPIROMETRY TEST USING A BRONCHODILATION AGENT

Last name:	Davydov	ID number:	DA300353
DOB:	03.30.1953	Age:	57
Gender:	Male	Weight:	120.0 kg
		Height:	180.0 cm

DIAGRAM
(Please see original document)

EVALUATION:

There are moderate (borderline significant) pulmonary ventilatory defects of the mixed pattern, predominantly obstructive. VC is moderately reduced (73%).
Expiratory flow rate in the large bronchi and in bronchial tubes is sharply reduced; expiratory flow rate in the medium-sized bronchi is moderately reduced.

Berotek (200 mcg) was used as a Bronchiodilation agent, with negative (paradoxical) effect.

PHYSICIAN S.A. SMIRNOVA */personal stamp and signature/*

PHYSICIAN Leonila Georgievna Yuvakaeva */personal stamp and signature/*

BRONCHODIALATOR

12.20.2010

13:23

1/1

License No.77-01-003087

INVITRO

“Independent Laboratory INVITRO” LLC 125047 Moscow, ul. 4th Tverskaya-Yamskaya d.16, k.3, tel./fax: (495) 363 0363 www.INVITRO.ru
Results of laboratory tests performed in the “Independent Laboratory INVITRO”

LLC

300353MAZSU
ANDREI NIKOLAYEVICH DAVYDOV

Gender: Male

Age: 57 Moscow, “Aerolife” LLC

Individual Record number: 105158485

Date the specimen was drawn: 12.02.2010 12:19

Date the specimen was received: 12.02.2010 15:45

Physician: 12.02.2010 16:51

Date the results were printed out: 12.02.2010 17:07

Borovskoye shosse, d.20

Test	Result	Units of Measurement	Referential range	Comments
Cholesterol	7.99*	Mmole/l	4.04 – 7.15	Recommended cholesterol level: < 5.18 Medium risk of the Coronary Heart Disease: 5.18-6.19
Cholinesterase	16813*	Units/l	5100-11700	High risk of the Coronary Heart Disease: > 6.19

* The result is outside the referential range

E-MAIL: P4ELA@GMAIL.COM

Laboratory physician
T.A. Makarova /signature/

Official round seal
of “INVITRO” LLC

To:

Anatoly Victorovich Mitrofanov
Chief of the Novo-Peredelkino
Department of the Internal Affairs

From:

Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk
Address of registered residence:
Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt. 97,

COMPLAINT

Re: Nonfeasance on the part of investigator (inquiry officer)

On January 4, 2011, I filed a statement No. 129 about a crime that had been committed. My statement was forwarded to the local District Inspector, Aleksey Yuriyevich Nadirov for purposes of conducting an investigation.

In accordance with Item 1 Article 144 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, the investigating agency, investigator or inquiry officer must issue a decision not later than within 3 days after receipt of report. I request that you inform of the resolution as soon as it is made.

In accordance with Item 1, Article 145 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, the investigating agency, inquiry officer or investigator must take one of the following determinations:

1. Institute criminal proceedings;
2. Refuse to institute criminal proceedings;
3. Submit a jurisdiction report in accordance with Article 151 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation and, in cases of initiation of criminal proceedings by a private person, submit it to the Court under Part 2 of Article 20 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation.

As of today, no determination has yet been made.

As the petitioner, I have not been informed of the results of the investigation.

Please inform me in writing of the determination, as soon as it is made.

January 29, 2011

_____ O. V. Skorbatyuk

To:

Solntsevo Interdistrict Prosecutor's Office
119618, 6 50-Letya Oktebrya Street, Building 1

From:

Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk
Place of the Residence:
Moscow, 119633
8 1 Novoorlovskaya Street, Apt. 97

COMPLAINT

Re: Nonfeasance on the part of the police officers
in response to a filed request for initiation of a criminal proceeding

On January 4, 2011 I submitted a statement to the Novo- Peredelkino Department of the Internal Affairs, reporting a burglary of my apartment by unknown persons. My statement was filed under No.129.

The local District Police Inspector, Aleksey Yuriyevich Nadirov, was assigned to investigate my complaint.

As of today, no determination has been made as to my complaint. I have been unable to reach the District Police Inspector by telephone, because he always absent from his office.

As the petitioner, I have been provided no information as to the results of the investigation.

I request a formal review in regards to nonfeasance of police staff.

January 28, 2011

_____ O. V. Skorbatyuk

45/129

APPROVED

[official round seal of (illegible)
Western Administrative District]

Police Colonel A. V. Mitrofanov
Chief of Novo-Peredelkino District
Department of Internal Affairs
/signature/

Valid Copy
/signature/

RESOLUTION

Re: Denial of request to initiate criminal proceedings

city of Moscow

January 13, 2011

Authorized District Police Inspector of Novo-Peredelkino District Department of Internal Affairs of the city of Moscow, Senior Police Lieutenant A.Y. Nadirov
name of investigating agency, investigator or inspector

having reviewed a report of a crime filed by Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk
name of individual, date and location of filing

Crime Log Entry No. 129, pursuant to Article 167 Paragraph 1 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation

FINDS AS FOLLOWS:

On January 4, 2011, Citizen Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk (place of residence: Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt. 97) filed a statement requesting an investigation of damage (in the form of a crack) to the side metal bracket of front door lock of her apartment, located at the following address: Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt. 97.

Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk stated that on December 31, 2010 she observed that the side bracket of the front door lock of her apartment was displaying signs of damage in the form of a crack. Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk did not know when or under what circumstances the crack had appeared on the door lock. Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk did not notice any signs of burglary, or that any items were missing from her apartment. Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk did not notice any other signs of damage to the door. Additionally, Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk stated that on December 31, 2010, prior to noticing the crack on the side bracket of the door lock, her boyfriend, A. N. Davydov, attempted to repair same door lock. During the repair process, Citizen A. N. Davydov tightened the screw bolts of the lock and hit the lock with a hammer.

In the course of the investigation the abovementioned lock was removed from the premises and forwarded to Forensic Analysis Unit of the Moscow Department of Internal Affairs for analysis.

Consequently, I have concluded that, at the present time, there is insufficient evidence to warrant a determination on this matter, due to the absence of trace analysis report of the front door lock. It would therefore be prudent to issue a determination on this matter upon receipt of the trace analysis report of the door lock.

Due to the fact that there is sufficient evidence to establish the absence of a criminal act, pursuant to Article 167, Part 1 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation, and in accordance with Count 1, Part 1, Article 24, and Articles 144, 145 and 148 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation,

ISSUES THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

1. To deny the request to initiate criminal proceedings based on a crime report, pursuant to Article 167 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation, in accordance with Paragraph 1 Part 1 of Article 24 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation;
2. To forward information regarding the resolution to deny the request to initiate criminal proceedings for requisite publication;
3. To forward a copy of this Resolution to Solntsevo Interdistrict Prosecutor of the Western Administrative District of the city of Moscow, Senior Justice Advisor I. V. Sanitsky;
4. To forward a copy of this Resolution to Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk, advising her of the right to file an appeal of this Resolution in Court or with the Prosecutor, by following procedure outlined in Article 124 or Article 125 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, respectively.

Authorized District Police Inspector of Novo-Peredelkino District Department of Internal Affairs of the city of Moscow, Senior Police Lieutenant, A.Y. Nadirov

/signature/

place signature

A copy of this Resolution of 1/13/2011 was forwarded to:

Solntsevo Interdistrict Prosecutor of the Western Administrative District of the city of Moscow, Senior Justice Advisor I. V. Sanitsky and to Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk

class ranking, last name and initials of the prosecutor

list recipient

01/13/ 2011 10:00am

Authorized District Police Inspector of Novo-Peredelkino District Department of Internal Affairs of the city of Moscow, Senior Police Lieutenant, A.Y. Nadirov

/signature/

place signature

APPROVED

Chief of Investigations Unit
of Novo-Peredelkino District Department of Internal Affairs
of city of Moscow
Senior Police Lieutenant E. A. Grishina
/signature/

January 13, 2011

[Official round seal of the of the Novo-Peredelkino District Department of
Internal Affairs, city of Moscow]

Valid Copy /signature/

To:

Anatoly Viktorovich Mitrofanov
Chief of Novo-Peredelkino
Department of the Internal Affairs

From:

Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk
Address of registered residence:
Moscow, 119633
8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt. 97
Home telephone number: (495) 731-90-57

STATEMENT

I request a criminal investigation of the actions by unknown persons who have planted chemical substances in my apartment in quantities that are hazardous to human life.

After a long absence, I have returned to my place of residence together with my boyfriend, Andrey Nikolayevich Davydov (his registered residence is at the following address: Moscow, 22 Odesskaya Street, Building 2, Apt. 68). Our health began to deteriorate sharply. My female friend, Yekaterina Yuryevna Charunova (place of registered residence: Moscow, 6 Novoorlovskaya street, Apt. 139) started experiencing same symptoms after she had visited us several times. In addition, I noticed strange spots on the walls and on the rug which had not been there before.

Soon thereafter I contacted the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology at the Federal Health Department, requesting that they test my apartment. The test results were shocking not only for me, but for the experts as well. It turned out that poisonous chemical substances in quantities posing danger to human life were found in my apartment.

In accordance with Item 1, Article 145 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, the investigating agency, inquiry officer or investigator must take one of the following actions:

1. Institute criminal proceedings;
2. Refuse to institute criminal proceedings;
3. Submit a jurisdiction report in accordance with Article 151 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation and, in cases of initiation of criminal proceedings by a private person, forward it to the Court under Part 2 of Article 20 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation.

In accordance with Item 1 Article 144 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, the investigating authorities, inquiry officer or investigator must

issue a decision no later than within 3 days after the filing of the complaint. I am requesting to be informed of the resolution immediately after it had been issued. In case of an extension of the term up to 10 or 30 days, in accordance with Count 3 Article 144 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, please, inform me immediately after the decision to grant an extension.

I have been informed of the penalty for perjury in accordance with Article 306 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation.

ATTACHMENTS:

- Copy of Laboratory Tests Record No. GTSP 23 2011 1, of 1/17/2011 and of other test results reports (per sanitary and hygienic investigation) issued by the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology at the Federal Health Department of 1/12/ 2011;
- Copy of Expert Report of 1/19/2011 issued by the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology at the Federal Health Department of (registration No. 19 – 0002) in regards to the noncompliance of results of instrumental tests with the sanitary and epidemiological standards in a residential apartment located at the following address: Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt 97;
- Copy of laboratory test results, Record No.18 of 1/26/ 2011;
- Copy of the second series of laboratory test results, Record No.18 of 1/26/2011;
- Copy of doctor's evaluation detailing suspected chronic exogenous intoxication by unknown poison, issued to Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk;
- Copy of medical record of Andrei Nikolayevich Davydov, issued by Ministry of Defense Diagnostic Center № 9, Department of Functional Diagnostics (Spirometry), confirming serious harm caused to my boyfriend's health.

January 28, 2011

_____ O. V. Skorbatyuk

Notice of Receipt

No. 045744

Complaint received from:

Police Major A. A. Proshak

(Initials, last name and rank of Department of Internal Affairs officer on duty)

989

(Crime log entry number)

Novo-Peredelkino Internal Affairs Department

(agency name, address and work number)

1/28/2011

Signature of duty officer /signature/ A.A. Proshak

(initials, last name and signature)

733-75- 00

[Square stamp: district duty officer
Department of Internal Affairs
Novo-Peredelkino District
Ministry of Internal Affairs
West Administrative District, city of Moscow]

[coat of arms]

**MINISTRY OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS
OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION**

**CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS
CITY OF MOSCOW**

**DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS
OF WESTERN ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT
CITY OF MOSCOW**

**DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS
OF NOVO – PEREDELKINO DISTRICT**

Moscow, 5A Sholokhov Street
Telephone: 733-75-00
Fax: 731-00-02

To: O. V. Skorbatyuk
Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street,
Building 1, Apt. 97

February 22, 2011 No. a /014 – C23

Re: Letter of Notification

Please be advised that that your request to inform you about the results of investigation of your previous complaint (KUSP No.129, dated January 4, 2011, regarding damaged lock of an apartment front door) has been reviewed by the head office of the Department of the Internal Affairs of Novo-Peredelkino District of the city of Moscow. On January 18, 2011, a letter notifying you of the official results of the investigation in connection with your complaint of January 4, 2011, was sent to you by mail. Due to circumstances beyond our control, based on your statement, you have not received the notification sent to you by mail.

Please, find enclosed a copy of the resolution to deny the request for initiation of a criminal proceeding. You may file an appeal of this decision at the Solntsevo Interdistrict Prosecutor's Office of the city of Moscow or through the Court.

Enclosure: a copy of the Resolution (1 page).

Department Chief
Police Colonel

/signature/

A. V. Mitrofanov

**MINISTRY OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS
OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION**

**CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS
OF THE CITY OF MOSCOW
(GUV D of the city of Moscow)**

Public Safety Police

127994, K – 6, GSP – 4

Moscow, 38 Petrovka Street, Moscow

Telephone: (095) 694 – 85 – 23

Fax: (095) 925 – 22 – 14

2/24/2011 No.14/70/C-38

To: A. M. Kostin
Police Colonel

O. V. Skorbatyuk

Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street,
Building 97

[round seal/ illegible]

Dear Anatoly Mikhailovich;

Please find enclosed a statement from O.V. Skorbatyuk for your review and further action.

Please inform the appellant regarding review outcome within the legally mandated time period.

Please forward copies of your report and your response to the appellant to UODUUM and PDN¹ of Moscow Central Department of Internal Affairs.

Enclosure: 4 pages

Sincerely,

Deputy Chief

Police Colonel P.V. Petrov /signature/

[illegible]

694 – 94 – 44

¹ District Police Inspector and Juvenile Case Administration Office

23 21 989

Police Colonel A. V. Mitrofanov
Chief of Novo-Peredelkino District
Department of Internal Affairs

/signature/

RESOLUTION

Re: Denial of request to initiate criminal proceedings

city of Moscow

02/08/2011

Authorized District Police Inspector of Novo-Peredelkino District Department of Internal Affairs of the city of Moscow, Senior Police Lieutenant A.Y. Nadirov
name of investigating agency, investigator or inspector

having reviewed a report of a crime filed by Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk on 01/29/2011
name of individual, date and location of filing

Crime Log Entry No. 989, pursuant to Article [sic] of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation

FINDS AS FOLLOWS:

On January 29, 2011 Citizen Olga Vladimirovna (place of residence: Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt. 97) filed a report requesting a criminal investigation in regards to unknown persons who had planted chemical substances in quantity hazardous to human life in her apartment.

Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk stated that she had not resided in her apartment for a long time, as she had been out of the country. Additionally, Citizen O. B. Skorbatyuk stated that during her absence no one resided in her apartment. However, as of February of 2010 she had been residing at the aforementioned address once again. In August of 2010 Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk's health condition started deteriorating. Following this development, Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk contacted the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology at the Federal Department of Health for purposes of testing of my [sic] apartment. Tests found certain chemical substances present in Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk's apartment. According to the Expert Report of 1/19/2011, it was determined that the quantities of these substances exceeded maximum permissible concentration (MPC) as follows: Styrol was 5,5 times greater than the MPC and formaldehyde was 3 times greater than the MPC. Citizen O.V. Skorbatyuk also stated that 7 years earlier her apartment was remodeled, during which the walls, the ceiling, the windows and the doors were repainted with water-based paint. Additionally, during the remodeling process new linoleum flooring was installed. Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk also noted that her apartment contained a sofa, a coffee table, a bed and a bookshelf. All of the furniture is made from chipboard. Presently, all this furniture had been removed by Citizen O.V. Skorbatyuk. Additionally, Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk stated that there was also a carpet in her

apartment, which she had also removed. At the present time, there is also a built-in closet, made from chipboard, in the apartment. Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk stated that nothing was missing from her apartment and that no strangers had visited her apartment.

In the course of the analysis it was determined that the chemical elements including styrol and formaldehyde found in Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk's apartment could have appeared as the result of lacquer, paint, and furniture glue (used in chipwood manufacture) evaporation. It was also determined that carpets emit a considerable amount of styrol. It was not determined that any criminal act against Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk had been committed.

Consequently, on the basis of the investigation that I have conducted, I have concluded that, at the present time, there is insufficient evidence to warrant a determination that a criminal act had been committed pursuant to any article of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation.

Due to the fact that there is sufficient evidence to establish the absence of a criminal act, pursuant to Article [sic] of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation, and in accordance with Count 1, Part 1, Article 24, and Articles 144, 145 and 148 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation,

ISSUES THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

1. To deny the request to initiate criminal proceedings based on a crime report, pursuant to Article 167 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation, in accordance with Paragraph 1 Part 1 of Article 24 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation;
2. To forward information regarding the resolution to deny the request to initiate criminal proceedings for requisite publication;
3. To forward a copy of this Resolution to Solntsevo Interdistrict Prosecutor of the Western Administrative District of the city of Moscow, Senior Justice Advisor I. V. Sanitsky;
4. To forward a copy of this Resolution to Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk, advising her of the right to file an appeal of this Resolution in Court or with the Prosecutor, by following procedure outlined in Article 124 or Article 125 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, respectively.

Authorized District Police Inspector of Novo-Peredelkino District Department of Internal Affairs of the city of Moscow, Senior Police Lieutenant, A.Y. Nadirov

/signature/

place signature

A copy of this Resolution of 02/08/2011 was forwarded to:

Solntsevo Interdistrict Prosecutor of the Western Administrative District of the city of
Moscow, Senior Justice Advisor I. V. Sanitsky and to Citizen O. V. Skorbatyuk

class ranking, last name and initials of the prosecutor

list recipient

02/08/2011 3:00pm

Authorized District Police Inspector of Novo-Peredelkino District Department of
Internal Affairs of the city of Moscow, Senior Police Lieutenant, A.Y. Nadirov

/signature/

place signature

APPROVED

Chief of Investigations Unit
of Novo-Peredelkino District Department of Internal Affairs
of city of Moscow

Senior Police Lieutenant E. A. Grishina

/signature/

February 08, 2011

[coat of arms]
THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION
PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE

To: O. V. Skorbatyuk

Moscow 119633,
8 Novoorlovskaya Street
Building 1, Apt. 97

MOSCOW PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE
SOLNTSEVO INTERDISTRICT PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE
WESTERN ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT

Moscow 119618
6 50Let Oktebrya Street, Building 1

2/16/2011 No. 35ZH – 2011

Your complaint, addressed to the Solntsevo Interdistrict Prosecutor's Office of the city of Moscow regarding the nonfeasance of police staff of the Moscow Department of Internal Affairs, as well as other matters, has been reviewed.

It has been determined that your complaint to the Department of Internal Affairs of the Novo-Peredelkino District of the city of Moscow, in connection with a broken front door lock in Apartment 97 at 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, was investigated in accordance with Articles 144 and 145 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation. Based on the results of the investigation on 13, 2011 a decision was made to deny your request to initiate a criminal investigation due to lack of evidence of a crime.

The Interdistrict Prosecutor's Office requested the investigation file and reviewed the materials contained therein in regards to the abovementioned complaint. The resolution to deny your request to initiate a criminal investigation has been reversed. The file has been forwarded to the Moscow Novo-Peredelkino District Department of Internal Affairs for further action.

Additionally, the materials on the denial of your request to initiate a criminal investigation contain a document, which confirms that you had been informed about the determination made by the Moscow Novo-Peredelkino District Department of Internal Affairs to deny your request to initiate a criminal investigation, as well as copies of said resolution.

If you disagree with this determination, you have the right to file an appeal either to the Prosecutor's Office of the Western Administrative District or to the Court.

Deputy District Prosecutor

/signature/

O. V. Alypov

To:

Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin
Prime-Minister of the Russian Federation

From:

Andrey Nikolayevich Davydov
Address of registered residence:
Moscow, 22 Odesskaya Street, Building 2, Apt. 68
E-mail address: shj.sciencelab.info@googlemail.com

My colleagues and I, as well as our relatives, are being murdered. What's more, this is being done by rather depraved methods. I suspect that this is being carried out by a group of persons from the federal security forces of the Russian Federation. The following facts form the basis of this conclusion.

While residing in Moscow, at 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt. 97, my girlfriend, Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk (who is registered at said address) and I, experienced a number of strange health problems for a significant period of time. Our poor health could not be cured by any medications, and doctors were at a loss as to what was causing our illnesses. Oddly, our symptoms were, to a large degree, similar. Our health condition continued to worsen, finally until both of lost our capacity to work, while I also developed severe fits of shortness of breath.

In early October of 2010, we began suspecting that our deteriorating health was not caused by ordinary illness.

One of the reasons that gave rise to our suspicions was the fact that, after reviewing our complaints, our internist suggested that we see occupational physicians and toxicologists, based on her suspicion that we were suffering from poisoning by an unknown poison (please see "Physician referral form" in the Attachment). Another reason for our suspicion was the accidental discovery that the front door of our apartment had been forced open. This was discovered when a portion of the door lock fell on the floor as we were leaving our apartment. Repair of the lock and its careful examination led us to the conclusion that there had been a break-in.

On January 4, 2011 we filed a complaint with the Novo-Peredelkino District Department of Internal Affairs, stating that our apartment had been illegally broken into by unknown persons. A police response unit was dispatched to the scene, along with our local Precinct Inspector, Aleksey Yuriyevich Nadirov. Department of Criminal Investigations Detective, Senior Lieutenant Vitaliy Igorievich Fonaryov and Second Lieutenant Andrei Borisovich Zhelezko were also present at the scene and took down our complaint (please see "Complaint to the Department of Internal Affairs No. 1" and "Notice of Receipt No. 129"). Senior Inspector N. E. Tokareva, Police Major with the Novo-Peredelkino Department of Internal Affairs, took away both locks for forensic

analysis, including the lock which, in the officer's opinion, had simply fallen apart on its own (while we were certain that the lock had been jimmed).

Having realized that our apartment had been broken into, we contacted the Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology of the Federal Healthcare Agency (FHA), to arrange urgent testing of our premises. The test results were shocking not just to us, but to the experts as well. They determined the presence of certain chemical substances in our home at levels that were hazardous to human life. In particular, the maximum permissible concentration (MPC) levels in a residential dwelling (room) far exceeded the norm as follows:

- **Dibutyl phthalates: by nearly 10 times** (samples of the carpet which was moved from the room to be aired out on the balcony due to a strange odor in the room);
- **Styrols: by 5.5 times** (in an area next to our sleeping area);
- **Formaldehyde: by 3 times** (also next to our bed);
- and the levels of **“Volatile components of an odorant compound” exceeded the MPC almost by 34 times** (also next to the bed).

Air samples in the closet showed styrol levels that were **8 times** greater than the MPC, naphthalene levels that were **7 times** greater than the MPC, while levels of volatile components of an odorant compound were **40 times** greater than the MPC (please see attached reports: Report No. GTSP23 2011 of 1/17/2011, Report No. 18 of 1/26/2011 and Report No. 18 of 1/26/2011 [sic¹]).

It is also worth noting that the staff of the Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology of the FHA informed us that such levels could not be have been related to apartment furniture (which had been there for at least 15 years) or to any apartment remodeling or repairs, the last of which took place over 6 years ago. They also verbally informed us that in their entire experience of working at this organization they had never encountered anything like that at a residential dwelling. For instance, the high levels of volatile components of an odorant compound that they discovered could only be found at perfume factories. They also took air samples outside our window, but did not find anything that could have caused such high indoor concentrations.

Additionally, we had submitted a portion of our carpet for analysis (please see Invoice No. 1138 of 1/28/2011). However, three weeks have now passed without any test results issued by the Moscow Center for Hygiene and Epidemiology of the FHA. We were informed by telephone (during our conversation with A. U. Poltoratsky, Head of the Laboratory of Chemistry and Physics Research), that traces of phthalates had been found, but that they were unable to formally offer any expert opinion because they were once again at a complete loss as to the results.

While our apartment was being tested, we also continued undergoing medical testing. A medical test revealed rather high concentrations of heavy metals in my blood,

¹ Translator's note

which significantly exceeded normal levels: **lead - by 40%, cadmium – by 20 %** (please see clinical laboratory test results from Dialab Laboratory). Please be advised that these tests were performed after I had undergone the first comprehensive blood purification procedure (cascade plasmapheresis) at the Medsi Clinic (please see reports from the clinic, attached).

Additionally, medical tests showed that **at the present time my bronchi had lost over 70% of their function** (please see Spirometry of A. N. Davydov, attached). I'd like to point out that, after I visited a number of specialists and had undergone a number of additional tests and evaluations (i.e. sputum analysis, ultrasound of the heart, etc.), it was determined that there was no connection between the fits of severe shortness of breath (bronchial spasms) and bronchial asthma. Similarly, no serious heart condition (ischemia, etc.), diabetes or allergies were found. It therefore followed that my ailments were caused exclusively by the impact of poisonous substances upon my body. This conclusion was verbally confirmed during my conversation with one of the health inspectors from the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the FHA.

Medical tests also showed heightened levels of cholinesterase by 30% (please see Invitro Laboratory test results, attached).

Some time earlier, my girlfriend and colleague, Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk, and another colleague of ours, Ekaterina Yuryevna Charunova, were both diagnosed with having a number of benign tumors, some of which were identical (I am referring to uterine fibroids, in connection with which E.Y. Charunova had surgery one year ago, and is able to submit medical records to that effect). Additionally, Ekaterina Yuryevna Charunova, her daughter, Darya Aleksandrovna Charunova (both are registered at the their address of residence: Moscow, 6 Novoorlovskaya Street, Apt 139) , as well as O.V. Skorbatyuk's daughter, Elena Yuryevna Skorbatyuk, everyone of whom had regularly visited our home, all presented symptoms that were quite similar to ours, namely, heaviness in the chest, difficulty breathing, seizures, unusual drowsiness, weakness, limb tremor, pain in the gastrointestinal tract region, edema (in particular, E.Y. Charunova developed Quincke's edema), burning and redness in the eyes, problems with vision, skin problems and so on. Ekaterina Yuryevna Charunova is able to submit medical records to confirm the aftereffects of the toxic substances in our apartment. In particular, she has been diagnosed with having the same kind of a tumor as O. V. Skorbatyuk (please see attached medical records), her bronchi were damaged and she suffers from first degree bronchial obstruction (please see Spirometry of E. Y. Charunova). After concentrations of lead were found in my blood, these individuals also had their blood tested for presence of heavy metals at Invitro Laboratory. The results of these blood tests are still pending and we can make them available as additional information.

After all of the abovementioned facts were discovered, and we had obtained documentary evidence thereof, the owner of the contaminated apartment, O. V. Skorbatyuk, filed a police complaint (please see “Complaint to the Department of Internal Affairs № 2”, “Notice of Receipt No. 989”). However, there was absolutely no response from the Novo-Peredelkino Department of Internal Affairs. None of the police

officers expressed any concern that residents of their precinct were more or less being murdered. We were offered no assistance in protecting our lives. Moreover, no investigations (at least none that we were informed about) were launched. The two complaints were not reviewed jointly; the results of forensics analysis of the lock are still not ready. Meanwhile, the first complaint resulted in a refusal to open a criminal case (Notice of Denial is not attached, since, for the past two weeks, we have been unable to receive it, by mail or in person, from our local Precinct Inspector). All this has occurred despite the fact that we have sent letters to Anatoliy Viktorovich Mitrofanov, Chief of Novo-Peredelkino Department of Internal Affairs (please see letter dated January 29, 2011), as well as a letter to the Solntsevo Interdistrict Prosecutor's Office (please see letter dated January 28, 2011).

I believe that it is important to emphasize that neither I, nor any of my colleagues or relatives, have ever violated any law, had any charges brought against us or have had any contact with any criminal organizations. Our lives and our careers have been solely dedicated to scientific research, on the basis of which we have been compiling the Catalog of Human Population (www.catalogofhumanpopulation.org). I have also never had any close contact with any members of the Russian Special Forces, with the exception of meeting Andrei Dmitriyevich Polonchuk, Lieutenant Colonel of the Foreign Intelligence Service, in 2000. He was interested in my research for the Catalog of Human Population. This acquaintance was severed in 2005, due to my unwillingness to maintain further contact with this person.

My colleagues and I tried to come up with all kinds of different hypotheses as to the possible and actual identity of our assassins, and eventually arrived at the conclusion that this was not our job, but the job for appropriate professionals. I am referring to officers of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and other agencies. But as it turned out, in reality these professionals are waiting for the end result of this crime, in other words, for our dead bodies. Our dead bodies! Only then will they commence their investigation. This is the only explanation for the fact that, for the past two months, none of the law enforcement officers have expressed the slightest interest in whether or not we were still alive. All of this occurred despite the existence of documentary evidence which clearly demonstrates that an entire group of people is being subjected to horrendous persecution, that we have been targeted and that someone is trying to kill us!

Needless to say, we are not particularly excited by the prospect of being turned into corpses so that those who are bound by professional duty to protect us can finally address our case. We would prefer to survive this situation and to put an end to the act of terrorism perpetrated against us. I classify everything that is happening to us as an act of terrorism against peaceful Russian citizens, because all of the abovementioned facts and attached documents prove that such actions could not have been undertaken by self-taught amateurs. I believe that it would take special professional expertise and skill to create such "cocktails" from a number of chemical compounds. This is exactly why I am inclined to believe that we are being terrorized by the Special Forces of the Russian Federation.

I am asking you to conduct an investigation of our complaint and inform us of the results via e-mail at shj.sciencelab.info@googlemail.com, because as of February 11, 2011, we have been forced to abandon our home due to the fact that someone had turned our apartment into a gas chamber. At the present time, O. V. Skorbatyuk and I are forced to seek shelter with various friends and acquaintances, despite our very real concern that some other harmful substance may be planted in our apartment, now that no one is watching it. At the same time, we realize that your email response might not reach us, because our computers have recently started acting very strangely: our e-mail accounts have been broken into, e-mail messages got readdressed, messages have been stolen, other e-mail messages didn't reach us and messages sent to and from our website have also not been functioning properly. I am not providing you with our phone numbers because I suspect that all my telephone lines (both home and cellular), as well as those of my colleagues, are being monitored, blocked or used. All this is spite of the fact that Article 8 of the Federal Statute No.40 of April 3, 1995, "Regarding Federal Security Service", mandates that one of the main activities of the Federal Security Agency should be the fight against terrorism and crime, and we are in no way associated with either. We are peaceful Russian citizens engaged in scientific research and we ask for your intervention in this matter.

I hope for your understanding, your assistance and protection.

Sincerely,

A. N. Davydov 02/21/2011

ATTACHMENTS:

- Copy of doctor's report issued to Andrei Nikolayevich Davydov, detailing suspected chronic exogenous intoxication by an unidentified poison;
- Copy of doctor's report issued to Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk, detailing suspected chronic exogenous intoxication by an unidentified poison;
- Complaint of a crime to the Department of Internal Affairs № 1;
- Complaint of a crime to the Department of Internal Affairs № 2;
- Notice of Receipt No. 129;
- Notice of Receipt No. 989;
- Copy of Laboratory test Record № GTSP 23 2011 1, of 1/17/2011 and test results of a sanitary and hygienic study, issued by the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the FHA of 1/12/2011;
- Copy of Expert Report issued by the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the FHA of 1/19/2011 (registration No. 19 – 0002) regarding the noncompliance of laboratory and instrumental study results with state sanitary and epidemiologic regulations and standards. Study was conducted at a residential apartment at the following address: Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt. 97;
- Copy of Laboratory Test Records No. 18 of 1/26/2011;

- Copy of second Laboratory Test Records No. of 1/26/2011;
- Invoice No. 1138 of 1/28/2011 for chromatography mass spectrometry (testing for phthalates of the carpet sample)
- Copy of A.N. Davydov's test results for the presence of heavy metals in the blood, issued by Dialab Laboratory;
- Copy of doctor's report re: health condition of Andrei Nikolayevich Davydov, issued by the Diagnostic and Treatment Center No. 9, Department of Functional Diagnostics, Ministry of Defense of the Russian Federation (Spirometry);
- Blood test results for A. N. Davydov, issued by Invitro Laboratory, showing an increase in cholinesterase levels;
- O. V. Skorbatyuk's ultrasound results (2 reports);
- Spirometry of E.Y. Charunova
- Complaint sent to Mitrofanov, Head of Novo-Peredelkino Department of Internal Affairs;
- Complaint sent to Solntsevo Interdistrict Prosecutor's Office.

To:

Anatoly Viktorovich Mitrofanov
Head of Novo-Peredelkino Department of Internal Affairs

From:

Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk
Place of Residence:
Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Apt. 97
Home telephone number: (495) 731 – 90 – 57

Statement

In spite of the fact that I my request to initiate a criminal proceeding was denied after two requests dated January 4, 2011 and January 28, 2011, I ask you to initiate a criminal investigation into the actions of unknown persons who planted chemical substances in quantities hazardous to human life in my apartment, due to new developments have come to light in the abovementioned case.

If you recall, in late December 2010 I discovered signs of a break-in on the front door lock of our apartment. Sometime later, the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the Federal Health Department measured high levels of certain chemical substances, in quantities much greater than the maximum permissible concentration levels as follows: **dibutyl phthalates – almost 10 times; styrol - 5.5 times; formaldehyde – 3 times; volatile components of an odorant compound – almost 34 times in excess of the allowed standard** (next to the area where we sleep). Analysis of the air in the closet showed that styrol was 8 times greater, **naphthalene was 7 times greater, and volatile components of an odorant compound were 40 times greater** (please see attached records: see Records No. GTSP 23 2011 dated January 17, 2011, No. 18 dated January 26, 2011, No. 18 dated January 26, 2011). Additionally, doctors diagnosed me and Mr. Andrey Nikolayevich Davydov, who I reside with (his residence is registered at the following address: Moscow, 22 Odesskaya Street, Building 2, Apt. 68) with exogenous intoxication. Mr. Andrey Nikolayevich Davydov began having severe fits of shortness of breath; it was then discovered that his bronchi were functioning at merely 30% of capacity (See Spirometry of A. N. Davydov). However, after this information was submitted to the Novo- Peredelkino” Department of Internal Affairs, it was determined that Mr. Davydov’s blood panel showed that his blood contained heavy metal concentrations, in quantities **substantially above the norm: lead – by 40%, cadmium – by 20%** (please see Dialab Clinical tests. Please note that these tests were performed after Mr. Davydov had had **a complete blood purification procedure (cascade plasmapheresis)** (please see medical summary issued to Mr. Davydov at the Medsi Clinic).

In both instances, the burglary of our apartment and the breaking of the lock (we are still convinced that in fact this was a burglary), as well as the presence of the poisonous elements in my apartment) I was denied in my request to start a criminal investigation and open a criminal case. (See attachments). But even if as far as the poisonous elements are concerned, with a far going stretch, it could be assumed that the reason for their presence was “the evaporation of the lacquer and paint and furniture glue from the surface of the wood materials used in manufacturing of the furniture”, it becomes absolutely obvious that neither cadmium, nor lead in such substantial proportions could transfer from the furniture and the rug to the blood.

After all these new circumstances in the case came to life, we addressed a new letter to the Offices of the President of the Russian Federation, Mr. D. A. Medvedev and the Offices of the Prime Minister of the Russian Federation Mr. V. V. Putin. (both letters are attached). One of the responses – from the Offices of the President of the Russian Federation – was received on February 21, 2011. (See the Attachment). The response informed us that our case was sent to the Prosecutor’s Office of the city of Moscow for consideration. In connection with all the above mentioned I inform your agency that the investigation is not completed yet. In addition, in light of the new facts, which indicate we are being poisoned by unknown persons, we will continue insisting on furtherance of the investigation.

In both denials to open a criminal investigation both, our attorneys and we noticed some inaccuracies, or plainly speaking open violations. For example, in the denial of the first request it was written: “... Ms. O. V. Skorbatyuk also explained that on December 31, 2010, before she noticed the crack on the side brace of the lock, her boyfriend Davydov tried to repair the lock...” However, I officially state that this wording is either some one’s grave mistake or intentional falsification. In fact, the sequence of the events was quite different. In particular: first, we noticed a crack, then, when a part of the lock fell off on the floor, and, because of that we were unable to lock the door, only then did living together with me Mr. V. N. Davydov tried to straighten It in order to lock the door for the night.

Another mildly speaking inaccuracy was the following wording: “During the repair work, Mr. A. N. Davydov... was hitting the lock with a hammer...”. Mr. A. N. Davydov was hitting not the lock, but the brace that had fallen off the lock. From our point of view, this is a very considerable detail of the case. The following sequence of the events was recorded in the record dated January 4, 2011, and can be confirmed by the witnesses who were present at the moment the record was being made. In particular, witness Ye, Yu, Charunova (registered at the following address: 6 Novoorlovskaya street # 139, the city of Moscow) and O. A. Galkina (registered at the following address: 8 – 1 Novoorlovskaya street, # 98, the city of Moscow). We hope that the Prosecutor’s Office of the city of Moscow, where we are going to file a corresponding complaint, in spite of the fact that I recklessly waived all the claims against the officers of the “Novo – Peredelkino Department of the Internal Affairs, will find out who and why was interested in distorting the record of the incident. My health condition was poor when I signed this waiver. In addition, I had signed the waiver before I was informed about the resolution to deny a criminal investigation on the facts, described in my first complaint. I admit that I rushed, but I believe that my health condition (intoxication) can validly explain my conduct and justifies my mistake. When my health condition improved a little and I had

enough strengths to read the Resolution dated January 13, 2011 that was brought to me by the local police officer A. Yu. Nadirov (I received this document and wrote my complaint on the same day), I found out those, mildly speaking, inaccuracies, which I mentioned above. In addition, I would like to draw your attention to the fact that I received the above mentioned Resolution only on March 5, 2011, when by law, all the deadlines for an Appeal had passed!

In addition, while continuing reading the documents, that the local police officer had brought, I realized that in the second Resolution to deny dated December 8, 2011, the list of the poisonous elements found in my apartment, by some unexplainable reasons, contained only sterols and formaldehydes, although there were many more others found there. This fact made me come to the conclusion that the officers of the “Novo – Peredelkino” Department of Internal Affairs had conducted no investigation of the fact that there had been also found in my home dibutyl phthalates (in excess of almost 10 times), naphthalene (in excess of 7 times) and volatile components of the fragrant elements that were in excess of 34 and 40 times. I do not know what the reason for that was. From the point of view of common sense and logic, the rules of the Federal Department of Health “The Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the city of Moscow”, according to which the balcony and the corridor are not considered a part of the living space, should not be used as the basis for the denial. Since it is an obvious fact that they are part and parcel of the same premises where people are living and the air in all these quarter is the same. For this reason, neither I who is the complainant, nor my attorneys understand the reasons for this mildly speaking strange disregard of the important facts in the investigation.

Further on, neither I, nor the attorneys whom I consulted about the matter, were satisfied with the explanation given by the local police officer A. Yu. Nadirov, regarding the fact that the denial to start a criminal investigation of the presence of poisonous elements in my apartment was based on the opinion of a volunteer, whom I personally have never heard about. Mildly speaking we consider this opinion to be a very weak argument for the merits of the resolution made by A. Yu. Nadirov. We have no reason not to trust the opinion of experts from the Federal Department of Health “The Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the city of Moscow”, who had thoroughly examined my apartment and stated that neither my furniture, that is 15 years old, nor the repairs that took place 7 years ago could have caused such poisonous results. On the contrary, the staff who conducted the measurements was genuinely surprised if not shocked at the high concentration of the poisonous elements, and in an oral conversation, they noted that they had never seen such high concentration in any living premises. On the contrary, from what they have said, similar concentration of the volatile components of the fragrant substance – almost 40 times higher than the allowed standard – can be found only in the perfume factories. As for the wooden material of the furniture, the officers of the Federal Department of Health “The Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the city of Moscow” officially stated that the evaporation of the poisonous substance can take place in the new items and in the items that are 10 years old, while 15 year old furniture can not be the source of such evaporation. We do not know if the volunteer who was mentioned by A. Yu. Nadirov had any professional knowledge of this matter, but we would like to know on what grounds the opinion of the experts from the Federal Department of Health “The Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the city of Moscow” working in the area for

several decades could be refuted. In addition, I believe that if Officer A. Yu. Nadirov considered it possible to base such an important decision on somebody's opinion, the first name, the patronymic and the last name, as well as the position and place of employment of this professional should be stated in the documents. However, there were none.

From our point of view, all the above mentioned facts on the poisonous substance together with the results of the tests of Mr. A. N. Davydov on heavy metals are enough for your agency to start a criminal investigation of the danger that threatens our lives from unknown persons. At this point I am not asking you, I am demanding that the employees of the "Novo – Peredelkino" Department of Internal Affairs start to fulfill their direct responsibilities, to investigate the crimes and stop the criminal actions against the citizens of the Russian Federation. These are the responsibilities for which they receive their salaries paid by the Russian tax payers. If this appeal to your agency is ignored, I will not only report to the Administration of the President of the Russian Federation and the Offices of the Prime Minister of the Russian Federation that "Novo – Peredelkino" Department of the Internal Affairs encourages terrorism against peaceful Russian citizens, but also notify all the international organizations protecting human rights of your actions.

In conclusion, I would like to notify you about one more fact. On February 11, 2011 due to the nonfeasance of the employees of the "Novo – Peredelkino" Department of Internal Affairs in response to my request to start investigation, A. N. Davydov, who is living with me and I had to leave our home because it had been turned by some people into a gas chamber. Besides the fact that we had practically lost our home and all our possessions inside it (because the health officers from the Federal Department of Health "The Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the city of Moscow informed us that it would be impossible to disinfect them). Besides the fact we have to look for shelter among our friends, we also worry that since no one is watching our apartment; some other poisonous stuff can be implanted there, as it had been done before. Unfortunately, we do have reasons to worry: before the International Women's Day on the 8th of March (I do not remember for sure, on the 6th or on the 7th of March) we realized that the hot water faucet in the bathroom had been damaged. It was done in the apartment where no one had been living since February 11. Before we left our apartment on February 11, I personally closed all the valves, and did not notice any defects on the hot water faucet. As soon as we realized the damage done by an unknown person and by an unknown way, we called a plumber. (The call was received by dispatcher Kulikova, the plumber's name was Boris). The plumber made the record of the fact that the faucet had been damaged and repaired it. Because of all of that, as of today we can not be sure that one day some illegal drugs or explosives, or ammunition, or a corpse will not be planted there. Neither can we be sure that this apartment will not be set on fire, flooded or will flood another apartment or apartments due to the actions of unknown persons. In this connection I would like to inform the "Novo – Peredelkino" Department of the Internal Affairs that Mr. A. N. Davydov and I are currently staying in the apartment of our friends and we do not go out of this apartment, even for shopping, pharmacy or visiting doctors. The owners of the apartment witness it. Therefore, if my apartment "all of a sudden" gets on fire, or is flooded and damages other apartments, or something from what I have already named is found in it, this will not be actions done by either Mr. A. N. Davydov or by me. At least, due to the fact that because of the absence of any protection of the law enforcement

agencies, and due to the fact that we are still in fear of persecution by unknown persons, we do not visit apartment # 97 at 8 – 1 Novoorlovskaya Street.

I inform you that I am aware that based on Count 1, Article 145 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, the investigating agency, an investigator or a detective must take one of the following actions:

1. to start a criminal investigation;
2. to deny a criminal investigation;
3. to submit the information on the investigation in accordance with Article 151 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, and in case of personal criminal complaint – to the Court in accordance with Article 20, part 2 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation.

I am also aware of the fact that based on Count 1 Article 144 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, the investigating authorities; the investigator or the detective must make their decision not later than within 3 days after a claim had been made. I request that you inform me about your decision immediately after you have made it. In case of extension of the term up to 10 or 30 days, in accordance with Count 3 Article 144 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, please, inform me immediately after the decision to grant an extension.

I am informed of the penalty for perjury in accordance with Article 306 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation.

March 10, 2011

_____ O. V. Skorbatyuk

ATTACHMENTS:

- Copy of doctor's report issued to Andrei Nikolayevich Davydov, detailing suspected chronic exogenous intoxication by an unidentified poison;
- Copy of doctor's report issued to Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk, detailing suspected chronic exogenous intoxication by an unidentified poison;
- Complaint of a crime to the Department of Internal Affairs No.1;
- Complaint of a crime to the Department of Internal Affairs No.2;
- Notice of Receipt No. 129;
- Notice of Receipt No. 989;
- Copy of Laboratory Test Record № GTSP 23 2011 1, of 1/17/2011 and test results of a sanitary and hygienic study, issued by the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the FHA of 1/12/2011;
- Copy of Expert Report issued by the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the FHA of 1/19/2011 regarding the noncompliance of laboratory and instrumental study results with state sanitary and epidemiologic regulations and standards. Study was conducted at a residential apartment at the following address: Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt. 97 (registration No. 19 – 0002);
- Copy of Laboratory Test Records No. 18 of 1/26/2011;
- Copy of second Laboratory Test Records No. of 1/26/2011;

- Copy of A.N. Davydov's test results for the presence of heavy metals in the blood, issued by Dialab Laboratory;
- Copy of doctor's report re: health condition of Andrei Nikolayevich Davydov, issued by the Diagnostic and Treatment Center No. 9, Department of Functional Diagnostics, Ministry of Defense of the Russian Federation (Spirometry);
- Cholinesterase blood test results for A. N. Davydov, issued by Invitro Laboratory;
- Case Record of A. N. Davydov provided by attending physician at the Medsi Clinic
- Case Record of O.V. Skorbatyuk provided by attending physician at the Medsi Clinic.

To:
Federal Security Service
Of the Russian Federation

From:
Andrei Nikolayevich Davydov
Address of registered residence:
Moscow, 22 Odesskaya Street, Building 2, Apt.68
Telephone: + 7 909 651 50 59

and:

Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk,
Last place of registered residence:
Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt. 97
Telephone: + 7 906 772 20 12
+ 7 985 770 29 55

STATEMENT

I am Andrey Nikolayevich Davydov, date of birth: March 30, 1953 in the city of Frunze, Kirgizskaya Soviet Socialist Republic. My passport information is as follows: 45 04 507628, issued on December 30, 2002 by the Zyuzino Department of Internal Affairs, city of Moscow. Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk (date of birth: December 7, 1967, in the city of Moscow; passport information is as follows: 45 02 622409, issued by the Novo-Peredelkino Department of the Internal Affairs, city of Moscow on March 13, 2002) and I both believe that we are being assassinated.

We work and live together (at the present time we reside at the following address: 6 Novoorlovskaya street, Apt. 139, city of Moscow. In November 2010 and thereafter, we have had to undergo a number of tests at the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the Federal Health Department (please see the packet of official documents in the Attachment).

We believe that only professionals could have brought our home (8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Apt. 97, city of Moscow) and our health to its current condition. We believe that this type of professionalism is quite specific and is displayed only by people who have undergone special training. This type of training points to Special Forces of the Russian Federation or to people who were previously employed by the Special Services of the Russian Federation.

I, A. N. Davydov, have only been acquainted with one employee of the Special Forces, namely, Andrey Dmitriyevich Polonchuk. This was at the turn of the 21 century. At that time, he introduced himself as a Lieutenant Colonel of the Foreign Intelligence Service. He expressed an interest in our work, more specifically in our research for the

Catalog of Human Population. (www.catalogofhumanpopulation.org.) He consulted with us in regards to the Catalog of the Human Population and requested testing information, which today is presented on our site in the form of demo videos (please see Home page). I was provided with the following information in regards to the individuals in question: day, month, year [sic], gender and, some of the time, social status (no first or last names were provided) [sic]. The goal was always the same: manipulation of these individuals for specific personal gain.

When V. V. Putin was elected President for his first term, Polonchyuk asked me to prepare a more comprehensive version of our individual program for V. V. Putin, for purposes of gaining a better idea in regards to the duration of his term as President of the Russian Federation, since he was absolutely convinced that this man was a transitional figure and that one had to expect someone else to take his place (probably someone from his professional circles). Polonchyuk was disappointed with my results.

While working at the Aviation Technical Center, he commissioned me to work on developing a theory of terrorism, as well its practical applications. The theoretical part of the study is attached (please see Attachment). As for the practical application, the files have been personally deleted A. D. Polonchyuk from my computer.

Approximately in 2005, I terminated my relationship with A. D. Polonchyuk because of his repulsive personality traits. We believe that, at the present time, there exist two possible scenarios. The first version is that either the Federal Security Services or the Foreign Intelligence Service has directly sanctioned our elimination, in which case we are doomed. The second version is that someone from the abovementioned agencies, (who either still works there or no longer does), is trying to kill us. In that case, we still have a chance to survive. If the latter is the case, we are convinced that agencies like the Federal Security Services and the Foreign Intelligence Service will be able to protect us both at present and in the future.

We would like to inform you that our phones have been bugged; our computers and our email correspondence are almost entirely blocked. If you telephone the number listed above or your e-mail response (e-mail: shj.sciencelab.info@googlemail.com) fails to reach us, it is because just recently our computers have started acting very strangely, our e-mail accounts have been broken into, our mail has been readdressed and messages stolen; we do not receive and are unable to send any e-mail messages through our website, because it is not functioning properly. All of my phones and my colleagues' phones are bugged, blocked and otherwise used.

This situation has reached such a point that respectable agencies, subjected to external pressure, have started issuing false documents. This is true even of government agencies. For instance, after completing a series of tests, the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the Federal Health Department suddenly claimed that they were encountering serious problems because of we had contacted them. They went as far as suggesting that we return the documents that they had previously issued, because these documents were declared invalid and unauthorized. Furthermore, the Moscow Center of

Hygiene and Epidemiology of the Federal Health Department suggested that we get retested at the Center's expense. Not to mention the activities of the Novo-Peredelkino Department of the Internal Affairs! We are unable to classify the actions Mr. Anatoly Viktorovich Mitrofanov, Chief of Department of the Internal Affairs, those of the District Inspector, Aleksey Yuriyevich Nadirov, or other agency staff, as they defy any rational explanation.

Sincerely,

A. N. Davydov
O. V. Skorbatyuk

March 18, 2011

ATTACHMENTS:

- "Concept of Terrorism" by A. N. Davydov
- Copy of doctor's report issued to Andrei Nikolayevich Davydov, detailing suspected chronic exogenous intoxication by an unidentified poison;
- Copy of doctor's report issued to Olga Vladimirovna Skorbatyuk, detailing suspected chronic exogenous intoxication by an unidentified poison;
- Complaint of a crime to the Department of Internal Affairs № 1;
- Complaint of a crime to the Department of Internal Affairs № 2;
- Notice of Receipt No. 129;
- Notice of Receipt No. 989;
- Resolution of refusal to initiate a criminal investigation of January 13, 2011;
- Resolution to refusal to initiate a criminal investigation of February 8, 2011;
- Copy of Laboratory test Record № GTSP 23 2011 1, of 1/17/2011 (sanitary and hygienic investigation) issued by the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the FHA of 1/12/2011.

- Copy of Expert Report issued by the Moscow Center of Hygiene and Epidemiology of the FHA regarding the noncompliance of laboratory and instrumental study results with state sanitary and epidemiologic regulations and standards. Study was conducted at a residential apartment at the following address: Moscow, 8 Novoorlovskaya Street, Building 1, Apt. 97 (registration No. 19 – 0002, dated 1/19/2011);
- Copy of Laboratory Test Records No. 18 of 1/26/2011;
- Copy of second Laboratory Test Records No. of 1/26/2011;
- Copy of A.N. Davydov's test results for the presence of heavy metals in the blood, issued by Dialab Laboratory;
- Copy of doctor's report re: health condition of Andrei Nikolayevich Davydov, issued by the Diagnostic and Treatment Center No. 9, Department of Functional Diagnostics, Ministry of Defense of the Russian Federation (Spirometry);
- Cholinesterase blood test results for A. N. Davydov, issued by Invitro Laboratory;
- Case Record of A. N. Davydov provided by attending physician at the Medsi Clinic;
- Case Record of O.V. Skorbatyuk provided by attending physician at the Medsi Clinic.

TERRORISM
The General Concept
(abridged version)

The system of threats (hereinafter – terrorism) can be (nominally) broken down into:

- government terrorism;
- criminal (shadow) terrorism;
- religious (denominational) terrorism;
- ethnic terrorism;
- any combination of the above.

Objectives:

- power;
- material assets and their equivalent; and
- ethnic self-sufficiency and dominance.

Tools:

- fear;
- a constantly (and fast-) evolving influence mechanism to provoke (maintain) the above.

Terrorism control and management
(within the framework of the analytical department)

The department's analytical projects follow two vectors, negative and positive. The positive vector includes developing scenarios for eradicating the roots of terrorism in general. The second, negative vector aims at suppressing, controlling and managing terrorism.

The analysis provides for:

- developing leaders at any level and within any surroundings in terms of their possible use within the framework of the aforementioned concept;
- mapping the infiltration mechanisms between terrorism and government or security agencies;
- classification of information about legal and shadow businesses in order to be able to identify terrorism's financial structures;
- analysis of terrorism import/export;
- analysis and development of security measures for analytical projects in “manufacturer – consumer” relationships;
- creating a virtual equivalent of current situations to be able to practice different options for manipulating the tactical and strategic impact on terrorism;
- developing a system of cooperation with other departments;
- establishing branch units.

Prepared by: A. N. Davydov

Federal Security Service
Of the Russian Federation
(FSB of Russia)

METROPOLITAN MOSCOW AND MOSCOW REGION OFFICE
Administration Service

To:
A.N. Davydov
Moscow, 22 Odesskaya Street, Building 2, Apt. 68

3/03/11 No. D-1092
city of Moscow, 101000

The Metropolitan Moscow and Moscow Region office of the FSB has reviewed your statement, which had been referred by the Federal Security Service of the Russian Federation.

Due to the fact that none of the materials submitted by you contained any factual data corroborating the allegation that unlawful acts had been committed against you, or pointing to other matters which are under the jurisdiction of FSB of Russia and its departments or other governmental agencies, there is no basis to warrant action by our office.

S.E. Makarov /signature/
Division Chief

License 0058795
No.77-01-001943
of 03.22.2007

“Peredelkino Clinic” LLC
119633, Moscow, Borovskoye Shosse, dom 20, k.1
www.vishneviysad.ru, e-mail: info@visheviysad.ru
tel. +7(499) 739 04 90

Cherry Orchard
clinic

ULTRASOUND EXAMINATION OF THE LESSER PELVIS ORGANS

Abdominal

Transvaginal sensor

Full Name: O.V.Skorbatyuk.

Age: 41 Medical Record No. _____

Date of the last period _____ [i.m.?] late January

UTERINE BODY: enlarged, not enlarged, homogeneously, not homogeneously

Position: _____

Margins: regular, irregular, clear, unclear _____

Size: longitudinal 62, anteroposterior 52, transverse 60 mm

Myometrial structure: _____

diffusely nonhomogeneous; a subserosal nodule 18x15 mm in the posterior wall

CERVIX No abnormality

Medium ECHO-complex: 18 mm

Coincides with II phase of the menstrual cycle

Structure homogeneous

UTERINE CAVITY: cavity enlarged to 4-5 mm (illegible)

LEFT PAROVARIUM: Ovary enlarged, not enlarged 38 x 24 x 26 mm

Capsule _____ Structure _____

yellow body 19 mm

margins – clear

RIGHT PAROVARIUM: Ovary enlarged, not enlarged 30 x 17 x 18 mm

Capsule _____ Structure _____

close to the uterus, small follicles

margins – clear

Tube _____

PECULIARITIES: _____

EVALUATION: ECHO-characteristics of hystero-myoma (endometriosis?)

Dynamics in I (illegible)

Physician: /signature/ (8-10 cycle day)

Endocrinology Clinic
Moscow Academy of Medicine
named after I.M. Sechenov
Pogodinskaya d.1, str.1
Tel. 248-38-67

TRYROID ULTRASOUND EXAMINATION

Skorabatyuk O.V., 39 years old, 03.28.07

The thyroid gland is positioned normally, has regular margins and homogeneous parenchymal pattern with medium-level echogenicity.

Right lobe: 1,9 x 1,4 x 5,0 cm

Left lobe: 2,2 x 1,3 x 5,2 cm

Isthmus: 0,3 cm

Thyroid volume: 14 ml (female normal range 9-18 ml)

The nodule of reduced echogenicity with even margins found at the boundary between the lower and medium third of the left lobe - 0,5 x 0,4 x 0,6 cm.

Lymph nodes on the neck are not enlarged.

EVALUATION: The Ultrasound shows a node in the left thyroid lobe.

Physician, PhD

/signature/

N.D. Petrova

Full Name: O.V. Skorbatyuk. Age: 39

THYROID ULTRASOUND

Position of the Thyroid normal

Margins clear, regular

Echogenicity of the Parenchymatous Tissue decreased

Echostructure of the Parenchymatous Tissue homogenous

Right lobe dimensions: 20 x 14 x 48 mm v – 6,7 cm³

Left lobe dimensions: 21 x 11 x 46 mm v – 5,3 cm³

Isthmus thickness: 3 mm

Focal zones: In the left lobe – nodes 0,7 cm with the echo-free rim.

Addendums and comments:

EVALUATION: Echocardiography indications of the Nodular Goiter

Signature

03.22.2007

“Peredelkino Clinic” LLC

119633, Moscow, Borovskoye
Shosse, dom 20, k.1
tel. 8-499-73904-90

DEPARTMENT OF ULTRASOUND AND FUNCTIONAL DIAGNOSTICS

Full Name: Skorbatyuk O.V.

Age: 41

Date 02.24.2009

THYROID EXAMINATION

Position normal, low (substernal) dimensions are borderline normal

Right lobe dimensions: length 48 mm, anterior-posterior 14 mm

width 18 mm, v – 5,8 mm

Left lobe dimensions: length 54 mm, anterior-posterior 12 mm

width 19 mm

Isthmus thickness 2,2 mm v – 5,9 mm

Margins are clear, unclear, regular, irregular, broken, do not differentiate from tissues around.

Echogenicity is medium, increased, decreased *nonuniform*

The Gland structure is homogenous, nonhomogenous, with a single nodule in the left lobe

Dimensions 8 x 4 mm, with multiple nodules in _____ lobe and the largest

nodule size _____ mm. *nonhomogenous, mostly hypoechoic with unclear margins*

Nodules with degenerative changes, without degenerative changes

Specifics: *There are small hypoechoic masses in both lobes up to 3,0 mm
No abnormalities were found when using color Doppler imaging*

In the chin area, two inactive nodules can be visualized – 6 x 4 and 10 x 4 mm

*Diffuse and nodular changes of the thyroid gland structure
Lymph nodes not reactive*

Physician /signature/

02.24.2009

Official seal of the “Peredelkino” clinic

MEDICAL CENTER "MEDI ART"

Moscow, ul. Skulptora Mukhinoi, dom 14, tel.228-03-34

ULTRASOUND TEST OF THE LESSER PELVIS

08.14.2010 Full Name Skorbatyuk O.V. Year of birth 1967

Last menstruation date August 4.

The ultrasound test was performed using a transvaginal sensor.

UTERUS:

Satisfactory visualization. Uterus is in anteflexio.

The dimensions of the uterus for the given age are normal.

Length - 49 mm, anteroposterior - 44 mm, width - 46 mm.

Uterus margins are regular and clear.

Nonhomogenous echostructure of the myometrium; a subserosal nodule 12x11x12 mm and a submucosal nodule 11x8x7mm on the posterior wall.

M ECHO 10 mm. 3-layered, homogeneous appearance of the endometrium.

In conformity with the Ist phase of the menstrual cycle.

The uterine cavity is not dilated and is deformed by a submucosal nodule.

RIGHT OVARY:

Not enlarged, 33 x 25 x 27mm.

Satisfactory visualization. Clear margins.

Homogeneous structure with 5 peripheral follicles 4-6 mm in diameter.

No abnormal mass was detected.

LEFT OVARY

Enlarged, 46 x 30 x 42mm.

Satisfactory visualization. Clear margins.

Homogenous structure with the fluid mass 28 x 28 x 27mm, multiple echogenic internal septums and a small amount of echogenic suspension inside.

A small amount of the free liquid behind the uterus.

EVALUATION: Hysteromyoma, a submucosal nodule, a cyst on the left ovary. An ultrasound control test on the 5th-7th day of the cycle.

SVETLANA VADIMOVNA TZARKOVA /signature/

The Official seal "For Documents" of the Medical Center "MediArt"

[Coat of Arms of the Russian Federation]

ADMINISTRATION OF THE PRESIDENT
OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

**CITIZEN AND INSTITUTIONAL INQUIRY RESPONSE DEPARTMENT
FOR THE PRESIDENT OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION**

23 Ilyinka Street, Moscow, Russian Federation, 103132

March 5, 2011

1 2 142473

№ A26 – 16 – 142473

To: A. N. Davydov
From the Internet without an address
Russian Federation

3 @ @

Department of Citizen and Institutional Inquiry Response Department for the President of the Russian Federation has reviewed your Statement and has forwarded it to Moscow Federal Department of Internal Affairs for further review in regards to matters that were raised therein.

Please be advised that, pursuant to the Law on Citizen and Institutional Inquiry Response Department of the President of the Russian Federation, approved by the President of the Russian Federation by Decree No. 201, dated February 17, 2010, for purposes of protecting citizens' constitutional rights in connection with inquiries made to state and local governmental agencies, all said inquiries are forwarded to the following agencies, based on the subject matter of the inquiry in question and the appropriate scope of authority of the receiving agency: subdivisions of the Administration of the President of the Russian Federation, Government Executive Office of the Russian Federation, state government agencies, regional government bodies and other government entities (Article 8, Part 3 of the Federal Law No.59-FZ of May 2, 2006 "On the Review Procedure for Inquiries Submitted by Citizens of the Russian Federation").

Y. Kuprin, Adviser /signature/
Citizen and Institutional Inquiry Response Department
of the President of the Russian Federation